

A. Control Measure under Consideration	B. Summary Description of Measure	C. Program/Measure Status	D. Specific Implementation Date	E. VOC Reduction	F. NOx Reduction	G. Resources (FTE's, \$\$)	H. Additional Information
Low Country, SC (Designated - Attainment)							
<p align="center">DECEMBER 2006 HAMPTON COUNTY</p> <p>Based on stakeholder consultation and taking into consideration resource and political constraints, the following emission reduction strategies remain under consideration. The County will continue to evaluate the air quality within the county and may implement one or more of the following measures under consideration.</p>							
1. Air Quality Contact	One person will be identified as the Air Quality Contact. At a minimum, this contact will be responsible for ozone education/outreach and dissemination of ozone forecast.	Completed. Blake Hodge is the current Air Quality Contact.	2003	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
2. Support Statewide efforts	The county will support the efforts of SCDHEC regarding statewide reduction strategies.	Completed. The county supported SCDHEC in the promulgation of the following regulations: SC61-62.2 "Prohibition of Open Burning"v& SC61-62.5 Std. 5.2 "Control of Oxides of Nitrogen.	June 25, 2004	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
4. Knightsbridge Biofuels Facility	Officials representing Knightsbridge Biofuels told the county council they plan to invest \$7-\$10 million in a facility for processing soybeans into biodiesel fuel. Knightsbridge plans to be producing biodiesel at the new Estill facility by early March of 2007.	The company has already done preliminary work at the Estill site, and hopes to complete construction in about two months.	Plans began October 2006. To be completed in 2007	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	
4. EAC Summit	Attended EAC Summit held in Columbia August 16-17		August 16-17, 2006	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	
<p>Comments:</p> <p>1. December 2004 - SC EAC SIP - activity not quantified for several reasons (first) in accordance with EAC Protocol, after all adopted Federal and State controls were accounted for in the modeling, it was determined that local controls were not necessary to demonstrate attainment of the 8-hour ozone standard. Measures were submitted by the local areas to show their continued support and commitment to the EAC process. (second) this activity is directionally sound and should provide air quality benefits and in some cases measurable results. The progress toward implementing this activity and the benefits derived will be documented as a part of the ongoing reporting requirements.</p> <p>2. December 2003 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac.html - additional information provided by the county to include "findings", "advantages/disadvantages", "recommendations", "costs", etc....</p> <p>3. March 2004 - Local Early Action Plan - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0304.asp</p> <p>4. June 2004 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0604.asp</p> <p>5. December 2004 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_1204.asp</p> <p>6. December 2004 - SC EAC SIP - Including Appendix 8 (Local Early Action Plans and the Air Quality Awareness and Improvement Policy) and Appendix 16 (County Level Emission Reductions and Descriptions for the Ozone EAC Areas)- See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_sip.html</p> <p>7. April 20, 2005 - Correspondence to Mr. Palmer including clarifying supplemental information to the EAC SIP submittal of December 2004. - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_sip.html</p> <p>8. June 2005 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0605.asp</p>							